

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 2 have been amended due to formal matters.

Claims 1-2 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kyoichi et al. (JP-10039981A). For the following reasons, the Examiner's rejection is respectfully traversed.

Kyoichi does not disclose or teach "attribute selection judgement means for judging according to outputs of said selection means and said analysis means whether or not an anchor having an attribute corresponding to said selection means is selected" and "attribute activation judgement means for judging according to an output of the attribute selection judgement means whether or not said anchor having said attribute corresponding to said selection means is activated" as recited in claim 1.

The Kyoichi abstract discloses user input detecting means 108, but Kyoichi does not teach or disclose an anchor *with an attribute corresponding* to the selection means. Since Kyoichi does not teach an anchor with an attribute corresponding to the selection means, Kyoichi does not teach or disclose attribute selection judgement means for judging that such an anchor is selected or attribute activation judgement means for judging that such an anchor is activated. Therefore, Kyoichi does not disclose or teach all the elements of the claimed invention.

In regards to claim 2, Kyoichi does not disclose or teach "attribute activation judgement means for judging according to an output of said selection means and said analysis means whether or not an anchor having an attribute corresponding to said selection means is activated"

as recited in claim 2.

As mentioned previously for claim 1, the Kyoichi abstract discloses user input detecting means 108, but Kyoichi does not teach or disclose an anchor *with an attribute corresponding* to the selection means. Since Kyoichi does not teach an anchor with an attribute corresponding to the selection means, Kyoichi does not teach or disclose attribute activation judging means for judging that such an anchor is activated. Thus, Kyoichi does not disclose or teach all the elements of the claimed invention.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 32908.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By:


Michael W. Garvey, Reg. No. 35878

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
(216) 579-1700

Date: November 16, 2004